

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,312	02/19/2004	William Reade Kem	UF-360XC1	7832	
23557	23557 7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
	HIK LLOYD & SAL	GREEN, A	NTHONY J		
PO BOX 142950			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GAINESVILL	E, FL 32614-2950		1755		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/783,312	KEM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Anthony J. Green	1755			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 June 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the appl	ication.	•			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/08/05</u> .	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			
S Deleted Today (Mar.						

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 72505

Application/Control Number: 10/783,312

Art Unit: 1755

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment submitted on 03 June 2005.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The insertion of the phrase "wherein the compound... adjacent to the nitrogen" in the independent claims is considered to be new matter as it is broader than the enabling disclosure. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in response to this office action.

Assuming arguendo that the new matter is canceled from the instant claims, the previous are rejections are still applicable and may be reinstated in the next office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Itabashi et al (US patent No. 6,900,394).

The reference teaches, in column 7, lines 45+ (i.e. Embodiment 1), a plating solution comprising copper sulfate, 2,2'-bipyridyl etc.

The instant claims are met by the reference. While the reference does not teach that the composition is useful for reducing fouling of surfaces exposed to aquatic environments, it should be noted that the preamble limitation is of no consequence when the composition is the same. Patentability does not depend upon intended use. See In re Pearson, 181 U.S.P.Q. 641.

6. Claims 1, 3-4, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al (US Patent No. 6,753,397).

The reference teaches, in the abstract, and the claims, a resin composition for use in an antifouling coating composition which comprises a boron-containing polymer having in a side chain at least one group represented by formula (1) wherein in the formula Y may be a heterocyclic compound which may be phenanthroline (see also column 4, lines 12-19).

The instant claims are met by the reference as the reference teaches a composition that encompasses that which is instantly claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

8. Claims 2, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (US Patent No. 6,753,397).

The instant claims are obvious over the reference. While the reference does not specifically teach the use of 1,9-phenanthroline, it does broadly teach the use of phenanthroline and accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any form of phenanthroline absent evidence showing otherwise. As for the use of the composition for barnacles or zebra mussels, the reference teaches that the composition is useful for preventing barnacles from adhering to various substances (see column 1, lines 15+).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

9. The references have been considered by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755

Page 5

ajg July 25, 2005